Multi-Scale Computational Homogenization of Plain-Weave Textile Composites

HyungJoo Kim, PhD Professor Colby C. Swan Center for Computer-Aided Design University of Iowa



<u>Overview</u>

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Multi-length Scale Analysis
- 3. Computational Unit Cell Homogenization
- 4. Conjugate, Symmetric Stress-Strain Measures
- 5. Transversely-Isotropic Hyper-Elastic Model
- 6. Demonstrative Example
- 7. Conclusions and Ongoing Work



Motivation

• Develop unit-cell analysis as a tool to study property-structure characteristics of hierarchical textile composites

Specific Challenges

- 1. Modeling/meshing of material structure
- 2. High cost of unit-cell analysis
- 3. Finite deformation homogenization (conjugacy)
- 4. Constitutive modeling (finding appropriate forms)



<u>Multi-Scale Analysis</u> <u>Process</u>





<u>Material Scale Decompositions</u> of Stress and Deformation

• Decomposition material/ macro stress/ deformation

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{X}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \boldsymbol{\tau}^{*}(\mathbf{X}); \qquad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) = \boldsymbol{\Phi} + \mathbf{F}^{*}(\mathbf{X});$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega_{s}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \ d\Omega_{s}; \qquad \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega_{s}} \mathbf{F} \ d\Omega_{s};$$
$$\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^{*} \rangle = \mathbf{0}; \qquad \langle \mathbf{F}^{*} \rangle = \mathbf{0}$$

• Periodicity of material scale stress and deformation fields:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(X_I) = \boldsymbol{\tau}(X_I + n\lambda_I); \quad \mathbf{F}(X_I) = \mathbf{F}(X_I + n\lambda_I); \quad (I = 1, 2, 3)$$





<u>Computational Unit-Cell</u> <u>Homogenization</u>

- Deformation controlled unit-cell analysis
 - Apply macro-scale deformation field Φ solve for periodic displacement field $\mathbf{u}_{per}^{*}(\mathbf{X})$; such that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{u}_{per}^{*}(\mathbf{X})$ satisfies stress equilibrium $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$

Homogenized macro-scale stress / deformation

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\tau} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega_s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \, d\Omega_s; \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left\langle \mathbf{F} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega_s} \mathbf{F} \, d\Omega_s$$

• Determine homogenized material constitutive model out of macroscopic stress and deformation relations; $\Sigma = \Sigma(\Phi)$



<u>Symmetric and Conjugate</u> <u>Stress, Strain Measures</u>

• Conjugacy between averaged deformation gradient and averaged nominal stress was demonstrated by Nemat-Nasser (2000).

$$\left\langle P_{Ji}\dot{F}_{iJ}\right\rangle = \left\langle P_{Ji}\right\rangle \left\langle \dot{F}_{iJ}\right\rangle$$

- Modified symmetric stress and strain measures
 - $\hat{\mathbf{S}} \equiv \langle \mathbf{P} \rangle \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle^{-T} \qquad \text{Macro } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ P-K Stress Tensor;} \\ \hat{\mathbf{E}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle^T \langle \mathbf{F} \rangle \mathbf{I}) \qquad \text{Macro Green-Lagrange Strain Tensor}$
 - \Rightarrow satisfy conjugacy in strain energy

$$\hat{S}_{IJ}\dot{\hat{E}}_{IJ} = \left\langle P_{Ji} \right\rangle \! \left\langle \dot{F}_{iJ} \right\rangle \! = \left\langle P_{Ji}\dot{F}_{iJ} \right\rangle \! = \! \left\langle S_{IJ}\dot{E}_{IJ} \right\rangle$$



<u>Transversely-Isotropic</u> <u>Hyperelastic Model</u>

• Transversely-Isotropic Hyper-elastic model by Bonet and Burton (1998)

$$\psi = \psi(I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5) = \psi_{inh} + \psi_{ti}$$

$$\psi_{inh} = \frac{1}{2} \mu(I_1 - 3) - \mu \ln J + \frac{1}{2} \lambda (J - 1)^2$$

$$\psi_{ti} = [\alpha + \beta \ln J + \gamma (I_4 - 1)](I_4 - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (I_5 - 1)$$

where

configuration

$$I_1 = tr(\mathbf{C}); \quad I_2 = \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{C}; \quad I_3 = det(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{J}^2;$$
$$I_4 = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A}; \quad I_5 = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C}) \cdot (\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A})$$

A: material director

yarn

and A is material director of aligned fibers in material

Estimation of Coefficients for Aligned Fiber Model

- Coefficients for Bonet and Burton model $\alpha = (\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
- Deformation-controlled homogenization

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sum_{k} \int_{1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\hat{S}^{k}(t) - \tilde{S}^{k}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t)}{\hat{S}^{k}(t)} \right\| dt$$

where

- $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{k}(\mathbf{t})$ is homogenized stress under k_{th} strain-controlled case
- $\widetilde{S}^{k}(\alpha, t)$ is 2nd PK stress from proposed model.







<u>Stress-Strain Behavior of</u> <u>Aligned-Fiber Composite</u>





<u>Stress-Strain Behavior of</u> <u>Aligned-Fiber Composite</u>





<u>Unit Cell of Plain-Weave</u> <u>Textile Composite</u>



(a) Unit Cell for Plain-Weave Textile Composite

(b) Quarter of Unit Cell











<u>Stress-Strain Behavior of</u> <u>Plain-weave Textile Composite</u> (In-plane uni-axial stretch)





<u>Summary</u>

- Modeled finite deformation behavior at fiber diameter scale
- Performed hyperelastic modeling of aligned fiber and matrix yarns
- Computed onset of compression buckling in textile composite
- Computed strong tension-stiffening at large stretches



<u>On-Going Issues</u>

- Progressive material failure in yarns
 - fiber-matrix debonding
 - fiber breakage
 - matrix cracking
- Extended transversely isotropic hyperelastic-damage model for yarns accounting for failure effects noted above
- Development/calibration of orthotropic hyperelasticity model accounting for finite deformation effects in textile composite.
- Micro-mechanical modeling of yarn buckling in textile composites with linearized geometric stiffness and eigenmode analysis.

